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## Outline

- Impacts on Returns
-Asset Allocation (Stocks vs. Bonds)
-Risk is Costly to Pension Fund Values
-Market risks have increased with wider volatility bands since the Global Financial Crisis
- Investing in Equities is Rarely Typical but often Feast and every now and then - Famine; yet equity investment is required to earn a 7\% target rate of return
- Investment Manager Implementation Approaches Impact Pension Fund Risk - More Choices than Just Active or Passive
- How can you Reduce Equity Risk while Maintaining the Same Level of Equity Exposure?


## Investment Terms

- Annualized Risk: The variation of a portfolio's returns around its average return over an annual basis (measured by standard deviation).
- Value-Added: The difference between the manager's annualized return and the benchmark's (S\&P 500) annualized return.
- Alpha: Is a risk-adjusted measure of Value-Added
- Tracking Error or Active Risk: The annualized standard deviation of value-added, it measures the variation of a portfolio's returns relative to the benchmark. Managers with larger active bets tend to have return streams exhibiting higher tracking error.
- A manager with a 5\%tracking error can be expected to produce positive \& negative value-added in excess of 5\%in 1 out of every 3 years.


## Asset Allocation: Stocks \& Bonds

Annualized Rates of Return and Risk in Percent Averages of Rolling 5- \& 10-Year Periods 1900-2022
Five US Stock and US Bond Simulated Portfolios

| Simulated |  |  | Proportio | and Risk | Proportio | and Risk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Portfolios* | Five-Year | nnualized | Compar | d Index | Compar | k Index |
| Stock/Bond | Return | Risk | Return | Risk | Return | Risk |
| 100\%/0\% | 9.9 | 8.0 | 186 | 205 | -- | -- |
| 75/25 | 9.0 | 6.1 | 169 | 158 | 91 | 77 |
| 60/40 | 8.4 | 5.2 | 158 | 133 | 85 | 65 |
| 50/50 | 7.9 | 4.6 | 149 | 119 | 80 | 58 |
| 40/60 | 7.5 | 4.2 | 140 | 108 | 76 | 52 |
| 25/75 | 6.7 | 3.8 | 126 | 97 | 68 | 47 |
| 0/100 | 5.3 | 3.9 | -- | -- | 54 | 49 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Simulated |  |  | Proportio | and Risk | Proportio | and Risk |
| Portfolios* | Ten-Year | nnualized | Compar | d Index | Compar | k Index |
| Stock/Bond | Return | Risk | Return | Risk | Return | Risk |
| 100\%/0\% | 9.7 | 5.3 | 180 | 162 | -- | -- |
| 75/25 | 8.9 | 4.1 | 166 | 125 | 92 | 78 |
| 60/40 | 8.3 | 3.5 | 155 | 109 | 86 | 67 |
| 50/50 | 7.9 | 3.3 | 147 | 100 | 82 | 62 |
| 40/60 | 7.5 | 3.1 | 139 | 94 | 77 | 58 |
| 25/75 | 6.7 | 3.0 | 126 | 91 | 70 | 56 |
| 0/100 | 5.4 | 3.3 | -- | -- | 56 | 62 |

* Invested proportionally in US stocks and US bonds, rebalanced annually.

SOURCE: RUSSELL INVESTMENTS \& TWIN CAPITAL

## ASSET Allocation: Stocks \& Bonds Range of Returns for Various Mixes (10-Year Horizon)



## Holding Period Length Impacts Risk

U.S. HISTORICAL NOMINAL EQUITY PREMIUMs
( Rolling Annualized Stock-Bond Returns Gaps )


At 20-year horizons, Stocks have rarely underperformed Bonds, even though the gap between Stock \& Bond returns exhibits substantial variability in shorter-term periods.

## Stock-Bond Return Gap

Rolling 12-Month Periods


While the 20-year Equity Premium has ranged from-2\%to +15\%(annualized), the rolling 12-month spread between stock and bond returns has ranged from -51\%to +60\%

The rolling 12month spread has widened since the Global Financial Crisis.

## Public Equity Annual Drawdowns

Annual Returns \& Intra-Year Declines: S\&P 500 Index 1958-2022


Despite the fact the S\&P 500® Index is up 71\% of all calendar years, the index still declines on average 13.5\% every calendar year

## S\&P $500^{\circledR}$ Extreme Days Have Become More Common since the Global Financial Crisis

| 31-Aug-2023 <END DATE <br> 2-Jan-1957 <START DATE 16781 <DAYS (\#) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S\&P 500 Index - Daily Performance (Dividends Omitted) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Best Days |  |  |  | Worst Days |  |  |  |
| Rank | Date | Level | Change (\%) | Rank | Date | Level | Change (\%) |
| 1 | 13-Oct-2008 | 1003.4 | 11.6 | 1 | 19-Oct-1987 | 224.8 | -20.5 |
| 2 | 28-Oct-2008 | 940.5 | 10.8 | 2 | 16-Mar-2020 | 2386.1 | -12.0 |
| 3 | 24-Mar-2020 | 2447.3 | 9.4 | 3 | 12-Mar-2020 | 2480.6 | -9.5 |
| 4 | 13-Mar-2020 | 2711.0 | 9.3 | 4 | 15-Oct-2008 | 907.8 | -9.0 |
| 5 | 21-Oct-1987 | 258.4 | 9.1 | 5 | 1-Dec-2008 | 816.2 | -8.9 |
| 6 | 23-Mar-2009 | 822.9 | 7.1 | 6 | 29-Sep-2008 | 1106.4 | -8.8 |
| 7 | 6-Apr-2020 | 2663.7 | 7.0 | 7 | 26-Oct-1987 | 227.7 | -8.3 |
| 8 | 13-Nov-2008 | 911.3 | 6.9 | 8 | 9-Oct-2008 | 909.9 | -7.6 |
| 9 | 24-Nov-2008 | 851.8 | 6.5 | 9 | 9-Mar-2020 | 2746.6 | -7.6 |
| 10 | 10-Mar-2009 | 719.6 | 6.4 | 10 | 27-Oct-1997 | 877.0 | -6.9 |
| 11 | 21-Nov-2008 | 800.0 | 6.3 | 11 | 31-Aug-1998 | 957.3 | -6.8 |
| 12 | 26-Mar-2020 | 2630.1 | 6.2 | 12 | 8-Jan-1988 | 243.4 | -6.8 |
| 13 | 17-Mar-2020 | 2529.2 | 6.0 | 13 | 20-Nov-2008 | 752.4 | -6.7 |
| 14 | 24-Jul-2002 | 843.4 | 5.7 | 14 | 28-May-1962 | 55.5 | -6.7 |
| 15 | 10-Nov-2022 | 3956.4 | 5.5 | 15 | 8-Aug-2011 | 1119.5 | -6.7 |
| 16 | 30-Sep-2008 | 1166.4 | 5.4 | 16 | 13-Oct-1989 | 333.6 | -6.1 |
| 17 | 29-Jul-2002 | 899.0 | 5.4 | 17 | 19-Nov-2008 | 806.6 | -6.1 |
| 18 | 20-Oct-1987 | 236.8 | 5.3 | 18 | 22-Oct-2008 | 896.8 | -6.1 |
| 19 | 16-Dec-2008 | 913.2 | 5.1 | 19 | 11-Jun-2020 | 3002.1 | -5.9 |
| 20 | 28-Oct-1997 | 921.9 | 5.1 | 20 | 14-Apr-2000 | 1356.6 | -5.8 |
|  |  | RAGE> | 7.0 |  |  |  | -8.1 |

Big daily declines \& advances tend to be clustered together in time.<br>This historical fact is part of what makes markettiming (buying at lows and selling at highs) difficult.<br>15 of the 20 Best Days and 11 of the 20 Worst Days have occurred since the Global Financial Crisis.

## Ending Investment Value Influenced By Select Days



Daily 1\%+ Up/Down S\&P $500^{\circledR}$ Moves - Monthly Counts

January 1957 - August 312023


In 2022, 49\% of days had 1\% moves.

Only two other years (2002 and 2008) had more 1\% moves.

In 2023, 28\% of days have had 1\% moves.

Annual average is 54 days (21\%) where S\&P 500 ® moves by more than $+1 \%$
Up/Down

## Market Environment - Volatility

Trailing 12-Month Returns Volatility S\&P 500


Measures of the timeseries volatility of monthly equity market segment returns rebounded from recordlow levels in late 2017 throughout 2018, 2019 and 2020. Volatility dropped significantly during the first three quarters of 2021, only to jump back up ahead of the Federal Reserve raising Fed Funds rate in March 2022.
Volatility has remained elevated throughout 2022 and the first quarter of 2023.

## Typical Market Performance is Not Typical



There are a greater number of big positive years compared to big negative years. Both big positive (12\% or higher) and big negative (-12\% or lower) years are more frequent than typical or average years (when the market rises between $8 \%$ and 12\%).

The key is to stay invested to earn the average 10.5\% annual return, even though it is often Feast or Famine.

[^0] Source: AMG - Principles of Investment Success, 4Q 2021.

## Risk Does Matter

The Mathematics of Compounding It's Tougher to Get It Back

If You Lose


Then You Need
11\%

300\%

To get back to where you started

The return to an investment is

Asymmetric as
losses have
greater impact than gains - the more you lose, you more you must earn to get back your initial investment

## Why Does Risk Matter?

| A Simple Example | Year | Investment A <br> Annual Return | Investment B <br> Annual Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | -6.0 | -20.0 |
|  | 2 | 12.0 | 16.0 |
|  | 3 | 10.0 | 12.0 |
| Two investment | 4 | -7.0 | -22.0 |
| orograms oroduce | 5 | 14.0 | 20.0 |
| the same annual | 6 | 15.0 | 22.0 |
| average return but | 7 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| with different | 8 | 13.0 | 18.0 |
| levels of risk | 10 | 18.0 | 28.0 |
|  | 12 | 3.0 | -2.0 |
|  | 13 | 10.0 | 12.0 |
|  | 14 | 6.0 | 4.0 |
|  | 15 | -12.0 | -32.0 |
|  | 16 | 18.0 | 28.0 |
|  | 17 | -10.0 | -28.0 |
|  | 18 | 21.0 | 34.0 |
|  | 19 | 23.0 | 38.0 |
|  | 20 | 5.0 | 6.0 |
|  |  | 12.0 | 2.0 |
|  |  |  | 16.0 |

Investment B Annual Return

## $-20.0$

16.0
12.0
-22.0
22.08.028.02.012.032.028.0-28.02.016.0

## Volatility Matters Because It Reduces Wealth

|  | Investment A | Investment B | Investment C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Annual Return | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| Standard Deviation of Annual Returns | $10.1 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| Annualized (Geometric Average) Return | $7.5 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| Value of Initial $\$ 1,000,000$ at End of 20 Years | $\$ 4,273,985$ | $\$ 3,212,138$ | $\$ 3,542,465$ |

While the Average Annual Return is lower for Investment C compared to B, the Annualized Return is actually greater than Investment B's due to Investment C's lower standard deviation.

## Relationship between Risk and Return

Geometric Annualized Return =Average Annual Return

- 1/2 (Standard Deviation of Return) ${ }^{2}$

Investment A: $0.075=0.08-1 / 2(.1)^{2}$

Investment B: $0.06=0.08-1 / 2(.2)^{2}$

Investment C: $0.065=0.07-1 / 2(.1)^{2}$

Less Annual Standard Deviation Means Higher Geometric or Compounded Annual Return and Ending Wealth Level

## Active, Passive \& In-Between

- Active vs. passive investment management:
-Most active managers trail benchmarks over time-do they sufficiently address downside risk? Performance is often inconsistent
-Passive managers struggle to match the benchmark, due to fees, transaction costs
- Is there a "middle ground"?
-Enhanced Indexing
-Smart Beta Strategies or Rules-Based Strategies investments

There is a middle
ground
between
active and
passive
strategies for those investors who do not want to
"punt" with
purely
passive

## Enhanced Indexing

- An enhanced index portfolio aims to "track" an index, but also attempts to modestly outperform it with similar or less risk
- Active managers either ignore or accept higher tracking error while enhanced index managers look to maintain low tracking error relative to the market index
- Enhanced indexing can increase the odds of success, and can reduce the odds of a large surprise
- Due to its lower tracking error relative to the passive market index, Enhanced Index strategies generate more consistent value-added relative to Active Strategies (which tend to go in and out of favor)


## "Smart Beta"

- Market beta provided by market capitalizationweighted indices like the S\&P 500 or Russell 1000 is not the only source of equity risk premia available when purchasing a stock portfolio
- There are additional "factors" or fundamental characteristics that provide investors with attractive return-risk trade-offs that can complement and, in some cases, compete with the traditional market capitalization-weighted benchmark indices
- "Smart Beta" or Rules-Based strategies break up the traditional market index into segments based on these fundamental characteristics
- Well-known "factors" or fundamental characteristics include Value, Momentum, Size, Quality \& Low-Volatility


## Smart Beta:

## Factor-based

## investing

## provides

## passive/rules

-based
exposure to alternative
risk premia
or return
factors in
the equity
market

## Low Volatility

- Low Volatility is a smart beta strategy not based on a formal equity return factor (like Value, Momentum or Quality), rather it is defined as an anomaly that has been found empirically
- The Volatility anomaly is evident over the long-term as portfolios of low volatility stocks (measured using market beta or historical returns variability) have out-performed portfolios of higher volatility stocks
- The problem with Low Volatility as a strategy is that in order to reduce total risk, a pension fund must increase its tracking error and accept underperformance in strong up markets (i.e., for the 12-months ending in August 2023, the S\&P 500 Low Volatility Index has underperformed the S\&P 500 by $\mathbf{1 6 . 5 \%}$ )


## Volatility Cycles

Trailing 12-Month Low-Volatility Minus Market Return S\&P 500


## How to Invest in Less Volatile Stocks?

- Two contrasting approaches to building less volatile equity portfolios:
- Focus on the least volatile stocks as measured by the standard deviation of stock returns
- Focus on those stocks who have exhibited consistent dividend growth over time
- Stock risk as measured by standard deviation of returns is nonstationary, meaning that it moves around
- Financial stocks were less risky prior to the financial crisis in 2007-2009
- Many financial stocks had to cut their dividends during the financial crisis
- Financial stocks became more risky following the financial crisis and dividend cuts, and again following March 2023 bank failures


## Dividend-Focused Lower-Volatility Investing Research

- Certain dividend patterns provide opportunity to exploit benefits of lower risk stocks, producing a smoother stream of returns
- Large-cap US stocks with a moderately long history of dividend payment \& growth are associated with a reduced volatility profile
- Stocks capable of growing dividends typically provide more stable earnings growth rates
- Subset of dividend-paying stocks created using a custom set of screens chosen to identify companies with a rising dividend stream thought to be less at-risk than the stream from typical dividend-paying stocks


## Dividends and Stock Research Analysis

- Assign every stock in the S\&P 500 Index into one of three non-overlapping subset groups:

1. Companies that are consistent dividend payers ("Strong Payers")
2. Companies that currently pay dividends but not consistently ("Weak Payers")
3. Companies that do not currently pay dividends ("Non-Payers")

- Reconstitute each group on a quarterly basis; calculate monthly returns for the three portfolio groups starting in 1981

Key Research Finding:
While there are different excess return patterns (relative to the S\&P 500®), the "Strong Payers" have consistently displayed the lowest returns variability (i.e., the least risk) among the three groups.

## Top Holdings Comparison Alternative Dividend Groups v. S\&P 500®

## TOP 10 COMPANIES

| Strong Payers | Weak Payers | Non-Payers | S\&P 500 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| APPLE | NVIDIA CORP | ALPHABET | APPLE |
| MICROSOFT | WELLS FARGO \& CO | AMAZON.COM | MICROSOFT |
| VISA | RTX | TESLA | ALPHABET |
| UNITEDHEALTH GRP | INTEL | META PLATFORMS | AMAZON.COM |
| LILLY ELI | CONOCOPHILLIPS | BERKSHIRE HATHAW | NVIDIA CORP |
| EXXON MOBIL | GEN ELECTRIC | ADOBE | TESLA |
| JOHNSON JOHNSON | AT\&T INC | SALESFORCE | META PLATFORMS |
| JP MORGAN CHASE | PROLOGIS | NETFLIX | BERKSHIRE HATHAW |
| WALMART | TJX | ADVANCED MICRO | VISA |
| MASTERCARD | GILEAD SCIENCES | T-MOBILE US | UNITEDHEALTH GRP |

Largest 10 Companies in S\&P 500 Index (Ranked by Capitalization) Appear in Black

As of J une 30, 2023, the Strong Payers grouping includes 4 of the largest 10 companies as ranked by market capitalization in the S\&P $500 ®$. The securities identified and described do not represent all of the securities in the respective groups. The list was compiled based solely on portfolio weight. The reader should not assume that an investment was or will be profitable.

## Impact of Dividend Status S\&P 500® Sub-Group Risk \& Return (\%) Periods Ending July 2023

|  | Consistent DividendPaying Stocks | Othe Dividend <br> Paying <br> Stocks | NonPaying Stocks | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S\&P } 500 \\ \text { Stocks } \end{array}$ | Breaking the S\&P 500® into 3 nonoverlapping groups according to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Annualized Returns } \\ & \text { Through Latest Period } \\ & \text { Jan-1981 -- } \end{aligned}$ | 11.73 | 10.96 | 10.78 | 11.42 | dividend status (Consistent DividendPaying Stocks, Other Dividend-Paying |
| Annualized Risk Through Latest Period Jan-1981 -- | 13.92 | 16.17 | 22.14 | 15.09 | Stocks \& Non-Dividend Paying Stocks) shows dramatically different |
| Annualized Returns Selected Periods |  |  |  |  | nce. |
| 1-YR | 10.18 | 14.66 | 18.64 | 13.03 | The portfolio of Consistent Dividend- |
| ${ }^{3-Y R}$ | 13.10 11.43 | 19.30 11.76 | 9.27 12.12 | 13.58 12.19 | Paying stocks has had lower risk over |
| 10-YR | 11.56 | 12.03 | 14.28 | 12.67 | longer-term horizons than portfolios |
| Annualized Risk Selected Periods |  |  |  |  | built from the other groups and the |
| 1-YR | 18.32 | 22.27 | 23.61 | 18.94 | S\&P 500® as a whole. |
| 3-YR | 16.11 | 19.15 | 22.95 | 18.04 |  |
| 5-YR | 16.90 | 20.73 | 22.51 | 18.76 | Returns are hypothetical. See |
| 10-YR | 13.53 | 16.31 | 18.17 | 14.86 | "HYPOTHETICAL RETURNS \& PERFORMANCE" in the Disclosures for details. |

## Performance of Stock Groups Depends on Market Environment

## S\&P $500 ®$ Sub-Groups Monthly Returns Analysis

January 1981 - December 2022

|  | Biggest Negative <br> Months | Smaller Negative <br> Months | Smaller Positive <br> Months | Biggest Positive <br> Months | All <br> Months |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Counts (\#) | 90 | 90 | 162 | 162 | 504 |
| Averages (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| S\&P 500® | -5.73 | -1.21 | 1.44 | 5.44 | 0.98 |
| Consistent Dividend-Paying Stocks | -4.76 | -0.94 | 1.36 | 4.93 | 1.00 |
| Other Dividend-Paying Stocks | -6.00 | -1.25 | 1.44 | 5.59 | 0.96 |
| Non-Dividend-Paying Stocks | -7.39 | -2.04 | 1.49 | 6.89 | 1.01 |
| $\quad$ Hit Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consistent Dividend-Paying Stocks | 0.72 | 0.61 | 0.44 | 0.29 | 0.47 |
| Other Dividend-Paying Stocks | 0.49 | 0.46 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.51 |
| Non-Dividend-Paying Stocks | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.49 | 0.66 | 0.48 |

[^1]
## Investment Mandates - Risks

Manager Mandate
Passive
Enhanced Index
Active
Low-Volatility

Total Volatility
Equals Market
Close to Market
At or Above Market
Below Market

Active Risk
$0.1 \%-0.5 \%$
1\%-2\%
2\% - 8\%
4\% - 7\%

While adding a Smart Beta, lower-volatility strategy will likely reduce total plan risk, the trade-off is that the plan will have to accept the higher active risk (tracking error) of the lower-volatility strategy, and likely underperformance in strongly-upward markets.

Enhanced Index strategies are often viewed as the sweet-spot between higher-fee active strategies aiming to beat the market and lower-fee passive products content to match the market's risk, return before fees.

## Key Takeaways

- There are 2 ways to increase the value of your pension plan - increase return or reduce risk (Remember Risk is Costly!)
- There are more choices when hiring managers than just Active or Passive Enhanced Indexing and Smart Beta (e.g., lower volatility strategies focusing on dividend growth)
- Research suggests focusing on consistent dividend growth may allow a pension plan to reduce their overall equity risk while receiving more dividend income compared to the market - at the cost of higher tracking error
- Enhanced Indexing is often viewed as the sweet spot as it is designed to protect pension plans on the downside but have more participation in the upside compared to Smart Beta strategies
- There is a trade-off between lowering overall risk while raising tracking error (using a Smart Beta, reduced volatility strategy) vs lowering overall risk a little bit with little to no increase in tracking error (Enhanced Index strategy).


## Important Disclosures

## PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS.

## INVESTMENTS ARE NOT GUARANTEED AND MAY LOSE VALUE.

TWIN Capital Management, Inc. (TCM) is a registered investment advisor founded in April 1990 and headquartered in McMurray, Pennsylvania.








## MARKET DATA



 responsibility for errors or omissions in the underlying data. Further distribution of the index data contained in this report is prohibited.

## INDEX INFORMATION



 proportion to the inverse of the realized volatility score.

## HYPOTHETICAL RETURNS \& PERFORMANCE





 expenses a client would have paid. Investors are reminded of the potential for loss as well as profit.

## DEFINITIONS \& CALCULATIONS




 multiplying the result by the square root of twelve.


[^0]:    Source: FactSet, S\&P Dow Jones Indices. Data calculated from 1926-2021 using total return.

[^1]:    Consistent dividend-paying stocks have historically performed better than the S\&P 500 index in negative markets. The non-dividend-paying stocks really shine in only the biggest up-market months. Return are hypothetical. See

