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## Topics

- Defined Benefit (DB) Plan
- Effect of Inflation
- Illustrative Examples - COLA
- Cost Mitigation Strategies for COLA's


## DB Plan

- Powerful financial tool in retirement that helps protect members against outliving their money
- Getting guaranteed annual income in retirement provides a member with financial security
- We do not want to be watching the stock market every day in retirement hoping it goes up so we can maintain our life style


## DB Plan

- What is better in retirement: a nest egg or annual income?
- Like everything else in life, a balance is best
- Ideally a member would have a nest egg earning interest (CD's, stocks, bonds and/or real estate) and annual income from a DB Plan and Social Security


## DB Plan

- When should you retire in a DB Plan?
- Many variables to consider
- Nobody rings a bell when you will be able to retire
- Cost of Living Adjustments (COLAs) in a DB Plan are extremely important


## DB Plan

Cornered
by Mike Baldwin

"Find a hobby, like rock-climbing or skydiving. You have to protect yourself from the cost of living too long."

## DB Plan

## Four Common Fears for Retirees

- Lifestyle
- Becoming a financial burden
- Health
- Outliving your money
- This is the \#1 fear for retirees
- A DB Plan provides a layer of security against outliving your money and a COLA in your DB Plan reinforces the strength of that layer


## Effect of Inflation

- Inflation is a key risk to the purchasing power of your DB plan benefit
- After 20 years of mild inflation, the pandemic was a primary driver of a surge in inflation over the last 3 years
- Even mild inflation can wipe out a significant amount of your pension benefit's purchasing power


## Effect of Inflation

## Cumulative Increase in CPI



## Effect of Inflation

How many dollars would you need to have the same


## Illustrative Examples

- Baseline assumptions for our examples (unless otherwise stated)
- Hired at age 25
- Retire at age 50
- Age at death 90
- Average Final Compensation $(\$ 80,000)$
- Discount rate of 6.0\%
- CPI of $2.5 \%$ per year
- To determine the best choice for retiree we compare
- Benefit levels at certain ages
- Total payments from age 50 to age 90
- Present value at retirement of all payments


## Illustrative Example \#1

## Keeping up with Inflation

- How much purchasing power is lost due to inflation?


## Example \#1 - Results

Annual Benefit

| Age | 3.5\% benefit multiplier <br> and 0\% COLA | 3.5\% benefit multiplier with <br> CPI based COLA (2.5\%) | Annual Loss of Purchasing <br> Power |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 0 |
| 55 | 70,000 | 79,200 | 9,200 |
| 60 | 70,000 | 89,608 | 19,608 |
| 65 | 70,000 | 101,383 | 31,383 |
| 70 | 70,000 | 114,706 | 44,706 |
| 75 | 70,000 | 129,779 | 59,779 |
| 80 | 70,000 | 146,833 | 76,833 |
| 85 | 70,000 | 166,129 | 96,129 |
| 90 | 70,000 | 187,959 | 117,959 |
|  |  |  | $2,036,157$ |
| Loss of Purchasing Power |  |  | 461,618 |

## Illustrative Example \#1 - Take Away

- This member may have thought they were in good shape when they retired at age 50 with an annual benefit of $\$ 70,000$
- Due to inflation the $\$ 70,000$ annual benefit has lost a significant amount of purchasing power in the later years of retirement


## Illustrative Example \#2

## How Important is the level of a COLA

- Let's discuss the difference between a 0\%, 2\%, and 3\% COLA on an initial benefit of $\$ 70,000$ per year


## Example \#2 - Results

|  | Annual Benefit |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 3.5\% benefit multiplier <br> and 0\% COLA | 3.5\% benefit multiplier <br> and 2\% COLA | 3.5\% benefit multiplier <br> and 3\% COLA |
| 50 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 |
| 55 | 70,000 | 77,286 | 81,150 |
| 60 | 70,000 | 85,330 | 94,076 |
| 65 | 70,000 | 94,212 | 109,059 |
| 70 | 70,000 | 104,018 | 126,429 |
| 75 | 70,000 | 114,844 | 146,567 |
| 80 | 70,000 | 126,797 | 169,912 |
| 85 | 70,000 | 139,994 | 196,974 |
| 90 | 70,000 | 154,565 | 228,346 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total Payment | $\mathbf{2 , 8 7 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 3 8 2 , 7 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 5 0 6 , 5 1 6}$ |
| Present Value | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 3 , 2 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 7 1 , 8 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 1 1 , 1 5 7}$ |

## Illustrative Example \#2 - Take Away

- There is a significant difference between the benefit level that these three common COLA's provide members
- There is also a significant difference in the cost level
- A modest COLA is still better than no COLA


## Illustrative Example \#3

Which would you rather have?

- Option $1-3.5 \%$ benefit multiplier and no COLA
- Option 2 - 3.0\% benefit multiplier and a 2.0\% annual COLA
- Option 3-2.75\% benefit multiplier and a 3.0\% annual COLA


## Example \#3 - Results

|  | Annual Benefit |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 3.5\% benefit multiplier <br> and 0\% COLA | 3.0\% benefit multiplier <br> and 2\% COLA | 2.75\% benefit multiplier <br> and 3\% COLA |
| 50 | 70,000 | 60,000 | 55,000 |
| 55 | 70,000 | 66,244 | 63,761 |
| 60 | 70,000 | 73,138 | 73,916 |
| 65 | 70,000 | 80,750 | 85,689 |
| 70 | 70,000 | 89,154 | 99,337 |
| 75 | 70,000 | 98,433 | 115,159 |
| 80 | 70,000 | 108,678 | 133,500 |
| 85 | 70,000 | 119,990 | 154,763 |
| 90 | 70,000 | 132,480 | 179,413 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total Payment: | $\mathbf{2 , 8 7 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 5 6 , 5 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 3 2 6 , 5 1 4}$ |
| Present Value | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 3 , 2 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 6 1 , 5 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 4 4 , 4 7 4}$ |

## Illustrative Example \#3 - Take Away

- Negotiations often focus on the benefit multiplier but the importance of a COLA cannot be overstated


## Illustrative Example \#4

- Option 1 - 3.5\% benefit multiplier and no COLA
- Option 2 - X.X\% benefit multiplier and a 3\% annual COLA
- What do you think $X$ is if the present value of the benefit payments over 40 years is the same for the two options?


## Illustrative Example \#4

|  | Annual Benefit |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 3.5\% benefit multiplier <br> and 0\% COLA | 2.30\% benefit multiplier <br> with a 3\% COLA | Difference |
| 50 | 70,000 | 45,960 | $(24,040)$ |
| 55 | 70,000 | 53,281 | $(16,719)$ |
| 60 | 70,000 | 61,767 | $(8,233)$ |
| 65 | 70,000 | 71,606 | 1,606 |
| 70 | 70,000 | 83,011 | 13,011 |
| 75 | 70,000 | 96,232 | 26,232 |
| 80 | 70,000 | 111,560 | 41,560 |
| 85 | 70,000 | 129,329 | 59,329 |
| 90 | 70,000 | 149,928 | 79,928 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Illustrative Example \#5

- Option 1 - 3.5\% benefit multiplier and no COLA
- Option 2 - X.X\% benefit multiplier and a 3\% annual COLA
- What do you think $X$ is if the total benefit payments over 40 years is the same for the two options?


## Illustrative Example \#5

|  | Annual Benefit |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 3.5\% benefit multiplier <br> and 0\% COLA | 1.82\% benefit multiplier <br> with a 3\% COLA | Difference |
| 50 | 70,000 | 36,485 | $(33,515)$ |
| 55 | 70,000 | 42,296 | $(27,704)$ |
| 60 | 70,000 | 49,033 | $(20,967)$ |
| 65 | 70,000 | 56,843 | $(13,157)$ |
| 70 | 70,000 | 65,895 | $(4,105)$ |
| 75 | 70,000 | 76,390 | 6,390 |
| 80 | 70,000 | 88,556 | 18,556 |
| 85 | 70,000 | 102,660 | 32,660 |
| 90 | 70,000 | 119,010 | 49,010 |
|  |  | $2,870,000$ | 0 |

## COLA Cost Mitigation Strategies

- COLA delays
- Fixed number of years after retirement
- Not paid while in the DROP
- Start at certain age when COLA's are needed most
- COLA payment interval can be anything
- Annually, biannually, every 3rd year, every 5th year


## COLA Cost Mitigation Strategies

- Set a maximum benefit subject to COLA
- Applying COLA only to first $\$ \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{XXX}$ of pension benefit
- Use simple interest instead of compound interest
- Cost is lower but may not do a good job minimizing the loss of purchasing power


## COLA Cost Mitigation Strategies

- Provide an optional form of payment with a COLA
- Lower benefit with a COLA - can make it fully or partially actuarial equivalent (may provide a subsidy to the member)
- Ad-hoc or discretionary COLA's
- One-time benefit increases given to retirees who have not received periodic COLAs, to help restore at least a portion of lost purchasing power since retirement


## COLA Cost Mitigation Strategies

- Performance-based variable COLAs can be linked to investment performance
- Takes away some risk for the plan sponsor
- Provide temporary COLA
- Apply COLA for X years or from ages Y to Z - e.g., from age 55 to 65
- Temporary COLAs can help bridge gaps by applying until eligibility for other benefits, such as Social Security, Medicare


## Questions???

